

# Children (Equal Protection from Assault) (Scotland) Bill

## Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

an individual

Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)

Member of the public

Please select the category which best describes your organisation

*No Response*

Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.

I would like this response to be anonymous (the response may be published, but no name)

Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.

Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

## Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal of giving children equal protection from assault by prohibiting all physical punishment of children?

Fully Supportive

**Please explain the reasons for your response**

really, I need to explain . . . Its not ok to hit adults . . . it's not ok to hit children . End of discussion, lets move out of the middle ages please . . .

Q2. Could the aims of the proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

No

**Please explain the reasons for your response**

No . . . A child's rights not to be assaulted should be enshrined in law . . .

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of giving children equal protection from assault by prohibiting all physical punishment of children?

It's not about advantages . . . physical violence is wrong, on man woman, child or beast . . .

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of giving children equal protection from assault by prohibiting all physical punishment of children?

disadvantages . . . oh I don't know, perhaps a few retarded sadist would have to go without their jollies . . .  
seriously . . . you may have to spend a little more on counselling the few amongst us that believe it's a good idea to torture the young.

## Page 11: Financial implications

Q5. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

Unsure

**Please explain the reasons for your response**

Why the fudge are you even talking about monies, when we are talking about children being assaulted . . .  
? Are you mad, has Margret Thatcher and her evil permeated so deeply into our culture that your even talking about the fiscal repercussions of stopping child abuse ???

## Page 12: Equalities

Q6. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the following protected groups (under the Equality Act 2010): race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity?

Unsure

**Please explain the reasons for your response**

I'm not sure where your going with this . . . Are you saying that "protected groups" are likely to be more effected by children not being able to be physically abused than other groups in society . . . Children not being beaten has got to be good for all groups within society, apart from those fuckwits that like to physically dominate those with less power than them selves . . .

Q7. Could any negative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protected groups be minimised or avoided?

They could get a little prospective . . .  
Or a life . . .  
Or perhaps just suck it up and act like a grown up and protect those around them that are less powerful or empowered . . .  
Stop asking stupid ass questions . . .

## Page 14: Sustainability of the proposal

Q8. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having a disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impact?

Yes

**Please explain the reasons for your response:**

As Homer (Simpson not the Iliad and Odyssey dude) would say Douh ! Your fucking kidding me . . . seriously wtf do you guys do with your time . . . Just do it . . .

## Page 15: General

Q9. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal?

ZZZZZZZZZZ

What you've not put this bill through already . . .

This is the 21st century .

Call me, I can help. you seem to have no idea what your doing . . . I will work for minimum wage to help out with the budget ....